

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 6329 Case file # 7-17993

Material Examiner: Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Guilty plea, no transcript available.

CRM - 3715

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 1:30 PM (Time), 03/14/2001 (Date)

File #: 7-17993

Laboratory #(s): 11006048

30204076

11021084

30211003

20820037

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed	Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: _____

Testimony Date(s): _____

Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 11006048/11021084

Date: Oct 30, 1981

Laboratory Number: 20820037

Date: Aug 20, 1982

Laboratory Number: 30204076/30211003

Date: March 14, 1983

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ

Laboratory Number: 11006048/11021084

20820037

30204076/30211003

Page 1 of 3

Initials: SR

CRM - 3716

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letters (dated 9-30-81, 1-31-83 and 2-7-83)

Results of Review

File #: 7-17993 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1, K125, K20, Q201, Q92, Q263, K159, K160, K129

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SM

Comments
(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 7-17993

#1: With microscopic hair comparison, even with the best notes, there is no way to determine the comparison was performed correctly.

#2: The examination results set forth in the laboratory report are supported by the bench notes, but the documentation is marginally adequate. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil. RQ uses abbreviations to indicate the microscopic characteristics of the hair. These abbreviations are difficult to interpret.

Review completed at: 2:15 PM (Time), 03/14/2001 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0:45 hours

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.



(Signature)

03/14/2001

(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SR